

ADVANCED ECONOMIC THEORY

Problem Set 3

Leeat Yariv

Due May 26, 2005

1. Stationary cardinal utility - Axiomatic foundations for the discounted utility functional form.

Using class notation,

- (a) Give examples illustrating that Axiom 2 (stationarity) $\not\Rightarrow$ Axiom 3 (intertemporal independence) and Axiom 3 $\not\Rightarrow$ Axiom 2.
- (b) Show that Axioms 1-4 are equivalent to the existence of the presentation discussed in class where u and v are *continuous*.
- (c) Show that if $y_c \succ_Y y_{c'}$ then $(c, c', y) \succ_Y (c', c, y) \quad \forall y \in Y$. Assume u and v of the presentation theorem are differentiable and define

$$1 + \rho(c) \equiv \frac{\partial U / \partial c_0}{\partial U / \partial c_1}(y_c).$$

What is $\rho(c)$ in terms of u and v ? What is the economic interpretation of ρ ?

2. A Cue Theory of Consumption - Filling the gaps. Using class notation,

- (a) Show that the threshold value \hat{x} corresponding to the No-cue Bellman equation is increasing with the discount factor δ , the outside option ξ , and the compensatory process weighting factor $1 - \alpha$.
- (b) Show that indeed

$$V(x^R, x^G) = \frac{\mu^R}{1 - \delta\mu^G} W(x^R : \tilde{\delta} = \frac{\delta\mu^R}{1 - \delta\mu^G}) + \frac{\mu^G}{1 - \delta\mu^R} W(x^G : \tilde{\delta} = \frac{\delta\mu^G}{1 - \delta\mu^R}).$$

3. Doing it now or later - welfare in a quasi-hyperbolic world.

Using class notation, denote a person's *long-run utility* of performing the activity at time t by:

$U^0(t) \equiv v_t - c_t$. We'll use that for welfare analysis. We will restrict our attention to the

set $\Sigma^M = \{(v, c) : v_t, c_t \leq M\}$ for some positive real M .

a. Suppose costs are immediate. Show that:

$$1. \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} (\sup_{\Sigma^M} [U^0(\mathbf{t}_{TC}) - U^0(\mathbf{t}_S)]) = 0;$$

$$2. \text{For any } b < 1, \sup_{\Sigma^M} [U^0(\mathbf{t}_{TC}) - U^0(\mathbf{t}_N)] = 2M.$$

Are **all** TC period selves necessarily weakly better off than **all** N and S period selves (global Pareto criterion)?

b. Suppose rewards are immediate. Show that:

$$1. \lim_{b \rightarrow 1} (\sup_{\Sigma^M} [U^0(\mathbf{t}_{TC}) - U^0(\mathbf{t}_N)]) = 0;$$

$$2. \text{For any } b < 1, \sup_{\Sigma^M} [U^0(\mathbf{t}_{TC}) - U^0(\mathbf{t}_S)] = 2M.$$

Are **all** TC period selves necessarily weakly better off than **all** N and S period selves?

4. The Social Discount Rate

Assume the utility of an agent at time $t=0,1,2,\dots, T$ is given by:

$$U_t(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_T) = \sum_{m=1}^t \delta^m u(c_{t-m}) + u(c_t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n u(c_{t+n})$$

where $0 \leq \beta, \delta \leq 1$, and u is increasing and concave.

Assume policy choices $c^T = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_T)$ are subject to a budget constraint:

$$\sum_{t=0}^T \frac{c_t}{(1+r)^t} \leq W \text{ where } r \text{ is the rate of return on savings and } W \text{ is the initial endowment.}$$

The social planner considers a weighted average of the different selves' utilities:

$$S(U_0, U_1, \dots, U_T) = \sum_{t=0}^T \mathbf{a}_t U_t \text{ where } \mathbf{a}_t \geq 0 \text{ for all } t.$$

a. Show that maximizing S under the budget constraint is equivalent to maximizing

$$\sum_{t=0}^T q_t u(c_t) \text{ where } q_t = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{a}_s \mathbf{b}^{t-s} + \mathbf{a}_t + \sum_{s=t+1}^T \mathbf{a}_s \mathbf{d}^{s-t}.$$

b. Show that if $\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{b}^{-1}$ then for all $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T-1\}$, $\frac{q_{t+1}}{q_t} \geq \mathbf{b}$. When is the inequality

strict?

c. Let $\mathbf{s}_t = \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)}$. Show that for the social planner's policy, if $\mathbf{d} < \mathbf{b}^{-1}$, $\frac{d\mathbf{s}_s}{d\mathbf{a}_t} \leq 0$ for

$s < t$ and $\frac{d\mathbf{s}_s}{d\mathbf{a}_t} \geq 0$ for $s \geq t$. What's the intuition for this observation?