

# ADVANCED ECONOMIC THEORY

## Problem Set 2

Leeat Yariv

Due May 7, 2005

1. Assume there are two possible states of the world:  $Z = \{G, I\}$ ,  $\Pr(G) = \alpha$  and  $\Pr(I) = 1 - \alpha$ .

Each player  $1, \dots, n$  receives a (conditionally independent) signal in  $\{i, g\}$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(g | G) &= p, & \Pr(i | G) &= 1 - p \\ \Pr(g | I) &= 1 - p, & \Pr(i | I) &= p \end{aligned} .$$

After observing their signals, players each vote for one of two actions:  $V = \{a, c\}$  (no abstention is allowed).

The final outcome in  $X = \{A, C\}$  is determined by a  $r$ -voting rule:

$$x_r(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \begin{cases} A & \#\{i | v_i = c\} < r \\ C & \#\{i | v_i = c\} \geq r \end{cases} .$$

The utility of each player is given by the common utility  $U : X \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$U(C, G) = U(A, I) = 0, \quad U(C, I) = -q, \quad U(A, G) = -(1 - q),$$

where  $0 < q < 1$ .

- (a) If players vote sincerely, calculate the probability of convicting the innocent (i.e.,  $\Pr(x_r = C | z = I)$ ) and acquitting the guilty (i.e.,  $\Pr(x_r = A | z = G)$ ) under majority rule ( $r = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ ) and under unanimity ( $r = n$ ).
- (b) Under sincere voting, which rule, majority or unanimity, produces a higher probability of convicting the innocent? What does that imply regarding the preferred voting rule for sufficiently high values of  $q$ ?

Assume henceforth that  $\alpha \geq q$ .

- (c) If players vote sincerely, would there be complete aggregation of information under majority rule? Under unanimity?
- (d) Assuming players are fully strategic, characterize the symmetric equilibrium strategies when  $r = n$  and when  $r = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .
- (e) When voters are strategic, which rule, majority or unanimity, produces a higher probability of convicting the innocent?
- (f) Can information aggregation be complete for any finite  $n$  for either voting rule when voters are fully strategic?

2. Consider the following two-person game (Moulin and Vial (1978)):

		L		M		R	
T		0,0		1,5		5,1	
I		5,1		0,0		1,5	
B		1,5		5,1		0,0	

- (a) Identify the Nash equilibria of this game.
- (b) Find the correlated equilibrium that maximizes the sum of players' payoffs (the most efficient correlated equilibrium).
- (c) Consider a situation in which after Player 2 chooses his action  $c \in \{L, M, R\}$ , Player 1 observes a signal  $\in \{\text{"Not } L, \text{"Not } M, \text{"Not } R\}$ . Let  $q(c)$  denote the probability distribution over signals that Player 1 receives when Player 2 chooses action  $c$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(L)[\text{Not } L] &= 0 & q(L)[\text{Not } M] &= 1/2 & q(L)[\text{Not } R] &= 1/2 \\
 q(M)[\text{Not } L] &= 1/2 & q(M)[\text{Not } M] &= 0 & q(M)[\text{Not } R] &= 1/2 \\
 q(R)[\text{Not } L] &= 1/2 & q(R)[\text{Not } M] &= 1/2 & q(R)[\text{Not } R] &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This signaling system allows Player 1 to rule out one of the actions that Player 2 did not choose before choosing her own action. Identify the (Bayesian) equilibria of this game. Is this a special case of a game with communication?

### 3. The Revelation Principle

Consider the game  $\Gamma = (N, (C_i)_{i \in N}, (u_i)_{i \in N})$ . Using class notation, a communication system is identified by a function  $v : \prod_{i \in N} R_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in N} M_i$ , where  $R_i$  and  $M_i$  are the sets of reports and messages of player  $i$ , respectively. This structure induces a communication game  $\Gamma_v$  (defined in class).

Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in N}$  be any equilibrium in randomized strategies of  $\Gamma_v$ .

- Identify the correlated strategy  $\mu : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$  corresponding to  $\sigma$ .
- Show that  $\mu$  is a correlated equilibrium of  $\Gamma$ .

4. Consider the following three player game:

	L	R		L	R		L	R
T	0, 0, 3	0, 0, 0	T	2, 2, 2	0, 0, 0	T	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
B	1, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	B	0, 0, 0	2, 2, 2	B	0, 1, 0	0, 0, 3
	A			B			C	

(Player 1 chooses one of the two rows, Player 2 chooses one of the two columns, and Player 3 chooses one of the three tables).

- Show that the pure strategy equilibrium payoffs are  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0)$ , and  $(0, 0, 0)$ .
- Show that there is a correlated equilibrium in which Player 3 chooses  $B$  and Players 1 and 2 play  $(T, L)$  and  $(B, R)$  with equal probabilities.
- Explain the sense in which Player 3 prefers not to have the information that Players 1 and 2 use to coordinate their actions.